**Verification Summary Report for Mycobacteriology Culture Method**

|  |
| --- |
| (Please fill in the table with your laboratory’s information and details on the method being verified) |
| **Date(s) Verification Performed:** |  |
| **Laboratory Name:** |  |
| **City, Country:** |  |
| **Instrument/Method/Reagent being Verified:** |  |
|  | [ ]  Primary [ ]  Back-up |
| (if applicable)**Serial Number(s):** |  |
| **Analyte(s):** |  |
| **Kit Name:** |  |
| **Reason for Verification:** | [ ]  Initial Verification | [ ]  Re-verification (choose one below) ☐ Instrument move ☐ Instrument modified ☐ Method change ☐ Other: ­­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| **Regulatory Status:**(check all that apply) | [ ]  FDA Approved [ ]  FDA Cleared [ ]  CE Marked [ ]  EUA [ ]  None |

**Procedure:** Refer to the (insert lab name) Verification Plan for (insert test/method name). This summary was written using “VAL 3000\_Mycobacteriology Validation Guidelines” as a reference, please refer to this document if more details are needed.

**Results:** All raw data reports and statistical analysis can be found in the (insert location details).

1. **Precision** testing for this method is:
[ ]  Required [ ]  Not Required (skip to next section)
2. (Summarize the materials used for short-term and long-term precision testing, including number of replicates run for each control)
3. The following table lists the precision testing results

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Short-term | Long-term | Acceptability |
| Expected | Lab Result | Expected | Lab Result |
| Positive Control | 100% | (00.00%) | 100% | (00.00%) | (Acceptable) |
| Negative Control | 100% | (00.00%) | 100% | (00.00%) | (Acceptable) |

1. **Accuracy-** Identify the reference method and materials used to establish accuracy.
	1. Accuracy was demonstrated using (insert comparison method details)
	2. (Describe sample type used for accuracy testing, for example patient samples or EQA panel details)
	3. (Describe number of samples tested for each level, for example 10 positive and 10 negative)
	4. The following tables lists the accuracy testing results

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Method being Verified | Diagnostic Sensitivity and Specificity (Results from Comparison Study) | **Total** |
| Positive | Negative |
| Positive | # true positive (TP) | # false positive (FP) | TP+FP |
| Negative | # false negative (FN) | # true negative (TN) | FN+TN |
| **Total** | TP+FN | FP+TN | N |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Lab Result (%) | Expected Result (%) | Acceptability |
| **Sensitivity=**100 x TP/(TP+FN) | (00.00%) | (Insert manufacturer’s claim) | (Acceptable) |
| **Specificity=**100 x TN/(FP+TN) | (00.00%) | (Insert manufacturer’s claim) | (Acceptable) |
| **Positive Predictive Value=**100 x TP/(TP+FP) | (00.00%) | (Insert manufacturer’s claim) | (Acceptable) |
| **Negative Predictive Value=** 100 x TN/(TN+FN) | (00.00%) | (Insert manufacturer’s claim) | (Acceptable) |

1. **Analytical Sensitivity and Specificity-** Refer to test kit package insert. For FDA approved, unmodified tests, manufacturer’s stated sensitivity and specificity will be used.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Prepared by:** |  |
| **Date:** |  |

**Method Approval**

[ ]  Approved

[ ]  Not Approved (provide recommendations/corrective actions below)

Additional comments, if needed:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Laboratory Director:** |  |
| **Date:** |  |